

Skolem problem (open): Is it decidable whether a $\mathbb{Q}$ -LRS $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ has a zero?

Remark: 1) Infinite zero seq can be computed, so w.l.o.g. $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ has finitely many zeros.

2) If $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an LRS of order $d \leq 4$: Yes

($d=1, 2$ easy, $d=3, 4$ Mignotte-Shorey-Tijdemann '84, Vereshchagin '85)

(S) Skolem Conjecture: If $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{H}]$ -LRBS, without zero in $\mathbb{Z}$, then exists $m \geq 1$ with $\gcd(H, m) = 1$, s.t. $(a_{n+nm})_{n \geq 0}$ does not have a zero.

If (S) holds, the "Skolem problem for simple LRBS" is decidable: search simultaneously for a zero and a witness m . Does **not** give a procedure to find **all** zeros of a bisequence! Does **not** immediately resolve the problem for LRS.

Thm 4.1 (Bilu-Luca-Nieuwveld-Ovuknine-Purser-Worrell '22)

There is an algorithm taking a simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -LRS $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as input, that outputs **all** zeros of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ if it terminates.

Under Conjectures (S) and (P) (below), the algorithm terminates.

Sketch. Wlog. $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is strict and has finitely many zeros (in $\mathbb{Z}$)

Check if $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has a zero (Terminates by (S))

If it has, wlog, $a_0 = 0$ (shift)

Find M s.t. $a_{Hn} \neq 0$ for $n \neq 0$. [Prop 4.1, terminates under (P)]

Recursion on $\{a_{Hn+r} : 1 \leq r \leq H-1\}$.

At the end, the algorithm terminates and outputs all zeros of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Recursion on $\{a_{M+r} : 1 \leq r \leq M-1\}$.

(Terminates, because each step removes at least one zero). $\square$

Schönfeld's Conjecture: If $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, then $\text{trdeg } \mathbb{Q}(z_1, \dots, z_n, \exp(z_1), \dots, \exp(z_n)) \geq n$.

E.g. $\text{trdeg } \mathbb{Q}(i\pi, \exp(i\pi) = -1) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \pi$ transcendental (known)

$\text{trdeg } \mathbb{Q}(1, i\pi, e, \exp(i\pi) = -1) \geq 2 \Rightarrow \pi, e$ alg. independent (open)

Conjecture (P) (Weak p-adic Schönfeld Conjecture)

If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $|1 - \alpha_i|_p < \frac{1}{p}$ are s.t. $\log(\alpha_1), \dots, \log(\alpha_d)$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, then $\log(\alpha_1), \dots, \log(\alpha_d)$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraically independent ($\Rightarrow$ alg. indep over every finite field ext. of $\mathbb{Q}$)

Prop 4.2: Suppose (P) holds. If $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a strict simple LRBS with $a_0 = 0$, then one can compute $M \geq 1$ s.t. $a_{Mn} \neq 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

Idea: $a_n = f(n)$ p-adic analytic interpolation, find a p-adic nbhd U of 0 s.t. $f(U \setminus \{0\}) \not\subset \{0\}$ ($\Rightarrow U \supseteq p^v \mathbb{Z}$ for some v , take $M = p^v$)

Lemma 4.3 If $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ converges in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $0 = \alpha_0 = \dots = \alpha_{e-1}$, $\alpha_e \neq 0$,

then $f(\beta) \neq 0 \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $0 < |\beta|_p < |\alpha_e|_p$

Proof Sketch: $|\alpha_e \beta^e|_p > \max \{ |\alpha_n \beta^n|_p : n > e \} \geq \left| \sum_{n=e+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta^n \right|_p. \quad \square$

Lemma 4.4: Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ be algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the group

$\{(e_1, \dots, e_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \lambda_1^{e_1} \dots \lambda_d^{e_d} = 1\}$ is computable.

(w/o proof ; explicit upper bound on size of generators). Special case $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{Q}$ easy (prime factorization)

Proof of Prop 4.2: Choose p s.t. $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the minimal polynomial of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ splits into distinct factors over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (Chebotarev's density theorem), and then $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (Hensel's lemma). Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the pairwise distinct

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

-
So find the smallest P_j with $P_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow \beta_j \neq 0$, - compute $|\beta_j|_p$ numerically
Conclude using L4.3. $\square$